

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,574	02/05/2002	Christopher James Brown	02-440	8161
7	590 09/30/2002			
J. Jason Link, Esq. Kilpatrick Stockton LLP 1001 West Fourth St. Winston-Salem, NC 27101			EXAMINER	
			ROWAN, KURT C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	
		DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Application No. 10/068,574

Applicant(s)

**BROWN** et al.

## Office Action Summary

Examiner

**KURT ROWAN** 

Art Unit 3643

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
· If the r	, date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
· If NO	If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	nis communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)   ✓ This action	on is non-final.				
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm	ent(s) stice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
_	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6} Other:					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/068,574

Art Unit: 3643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the 1. language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ... " (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of 2. claims 1-11 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,343,432. This is a double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/068,574 Page 3

Art Unit: 3643

#### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer in view of Tryon.

The patents to Palmer and Tryon show decoys. Palmer shows a main body 12 with an orifice 44 and a head 14 with a flange 20, 22, 24 that is insertable in the orifice in the main body. The decoy is made from expanded polystyrene which is polymeric material. The main body is shaped like the body of an animal. The main body encloses a volume to define a hollow interior having an orifice 44. The patent to Tryon shows a three dimensional goose decoy 11, 15 having photographic detail printed thereon. The body is three dimensional since length, height and depth are depicted. In reference to claims 12, 13, 14, 18, it would have been obvious to provide Palmer with the photographic detail as shown by Tryon to provide a decoy with a life-like appearance. In reference to claim 15, Palmer shows embossed detail such as the eyes which are animal features. In reference to claim 16, Tryon shows the features of geese and also discloses ducks and states that other game birds are within the scope of the invention in column 2, lines 11-18. In reference to claim 17, Tryon shows the game bird in a resting position. In

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/068,574

Art Unit: 3643

reference to claim 19, Palmer shows a head 14, but it would have been obvious to employ plural heads, say for example male and female heads so that an array of decoys could be tailored to the user's preference. In reference to claim 20, Palmer and Tryon show duck and goose decoys.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Mierau, Brown, Wise, Cripe, Heiges, Gazalski, Anderson, DeKezel, Bruce, Karr, Risch, Gazalski, Johnson, Brinkop, and Danz show other decoys.

6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KURT ROWAN whose telephone number is (703) 308-2321.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195 or (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

.Application/Control Number: 10/068,574

Art Unit: 3643

**KURT ROWAN** 

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3643

September 25, 2002